

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
08/809,72	3 05/21/9	7 OHKI		Н	18-971-0-PCT		
_		HM11/0605	$\overline{}$	E)	EXAMINER		
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER AND NEUSTADT			MARSHALL,S				
FOURTH FL				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ERSON DAVIS VA 22202	HIGHWAY		1654	9		
				DATE MAILED:	06/05/98		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	<u> </u>	1		
	Application No. Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	08 809723 Examiner	OHK1	Group Art Unit	
_	Marshall		1654	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears		beneath the c	orrespondence a	ddress
Period for Response				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONT	H(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to response is specified above, such period shall, by defaulting the responded within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the state	itory minimum of t	hirty (30) days will be g date of this commur	considered timely ication .
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·
A This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 0			the merits is clo	sed in
Disposition of Claims				
rectaim(s) 1-16 and 19	is/are	_ is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)	is/are	is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s) 1-14 and 19	is/are	_ is/are reiected.		
☐ Claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)		•		
Application Papers		require	ement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review. PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapprove	ed.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 	• •			
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number))		······································	
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the Intern	national Bureau (PC)	Rule 1 7.2(a))	•	
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(Interview Sum	riew Summary, PTO-413		
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	Notice of Infor	ce of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other		
VA.2.4	Action Summary		· · · · · ·	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Serial Number: 08/809723

Art Unit: 1811

Claims 1-16 and 19 are pending in the case, and claims 17-18 have been cancel.

The rejection of claims 1-16 and 19 under 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toshiro et al (EPA0462531) or Toshiro et al (Us Patent 5, 376634) has been maintained as set forth in the office action mailed August 28, 1997 on pages 2-3. Additionally, the rejection of claims 1-16 and 19 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-typed double patenting has been maintained.

Applicant's arguments filed March 2, 1998 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants agree with the examiner that the compounds of instant invention falls within the scope of the invention as taught by Toshiro et al. However, applicants' argue that the examiner provides no reason as to why one of skill in the art would be motivated from the teaching of the reference, to pick the specific acyl group of the instant invention.

Although the patent of Toshiro et al teaches R1 is acyl, Toshiro et al also define acyl groups as being lower alkanoyl, e.g. formyl, acetyl, propionyl, butyl... which may be substituted....(see Toshiro et al, col. 6, lines 30-68), of which the preferred acyl is lower alkanoyl, including heterocyclic lower alkanoyl (see col.8, lines 14-68). These compounds read essentially on the compounds of applicants(see spec. 2-20) Therefore the compounds of the instant invention largely overlap the compounds of the reference, and one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made would have been motivated to preferentially select the desired acyl group to obtain compounds of the instant invention that possess anitmicrobial

Serial Number: 08/809723 Page 3

Art Unit: 1811

activity, especially anti fungal activity. Applicants' situation is not an In re Baird situation. In in re Baird, one would have to pick and choose from various radicals to come up with the claimed invention. In this invention, their is a large overlap in the compounds.

The Declaration submitted by applicants has been carefully considered, however; the small number of peptides tested is not commensurate in scope with the protection sought. Therefore the rejections are maintained. However, the specific compounds tested and showed unexpected results are allowable if presented.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Marshall whose telephone number is (703) 308-1030.

Serial Number: 08/809723

Art Unit: 1811

sgm June 4, 1998

> CECILIA J. TSANG SUPERVISONY FAVEST EXAMINER GROUP 1630